(4)

समक्ष माननीय म० प्र० प्रशासनिक अधिकरण

खंड, रायपुर (छत्तीसगढ़)

COPY 415 2001

प्र० क्र० ओ.ए. २००१.

सखाराम कुंजाम आत्मज सोनऊराम कुंजाम,
आयु लगभग ३८ वर्ष, सहायक प्राध्यापक
(भूगोल) शासकीय महाविद्यालय,
नारायणपुर, बस्तर (छत्तीसगढ़) ----- आवेदक.

विरुद्ध

(१) छत्तीसगढ़ शासन
द्वारा सचिव महाविद्यालीन शिक्षा
छत्तीसगढ़, रायपुर
डी०के०एस० भवन रायपुर (छ.ग.)

(२) म० प्र० शासन
द्वारा सचिव महाविद्यालीन शिक्षा
वल्लभ भवन, भोपाल (म०प्र०)

(३) आयुक्त, उच्च शिक्षा
छत्तीसगढ़ शासन, रायपुर
विज्ञान महाविद्यालय परिसर रायपुर (छ.ग.)

(४) अतिरिक्त संचालक, उच्च शिक्षा
रायपुर बस्तर संभाग,
कार्यालय, रायपुर,
छत्तीसगढ़
विज्ञान महाविद्यालय परिसर रायपुर (छ.ग.)

(५) प्राचार्य,
शासकीय महाविद्यालय,
नारायणपुर जिला- बस्तर
छत्तीसगढ़ ----- अनावेदकगण.

---२---

आवेदन पत्र अंतर्गत धारा १६ प्रशासनिक अधिकरण अधिनियम १९८५

(57)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 4152 of 2005

Applicant : Sakharam Kunjam

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: Mr. R.K. Kesharwani, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 20th day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as Assistant Professor by the order dated 23.12.89(A/1) on emergency basis. However, the said appointment is continuing till date and in the meantime, he has been regularized in the year 2006.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled to grant of annual increments in view of the Circular No. 138/621/92/Vi-1/four Bhopal dated 18th August, 93 issued by the Finance Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh. In view of the said circular, the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to make a representation before the concerned authorities for grant of annual increments, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State has no objection.

3. In the event, a representation is made, the respondent-authorities may consider the same, in accordance with law, on its own merit, within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of the representation, if any.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with the above stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Dalalu